UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/823,901	04/14/2004	Florian Patrick Nierhaus	2003P18698US	6111
Siemens Corpor	7590 10/01/200 ration	EXAMINER		
Attn: Elsa Kelle	er, Legal Administrator	GAY, SONIA L		
170 Wood Avei	perty Department nue South	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Iselin, NJ 08830	)	2614		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/01/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/823,901	NIERHAUS, FLORIAN PATRICK		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SONIA GAY	2614		

	0011171 0711		2017	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover shee	et with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CO	ONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendme eal (with appeal fee) in c	ent, affidavit compliance v	t, or other evidence, www. with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lates Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS fro	om the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under tension and the correspond shortened statutory period to than three months after th	ding amount o for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CER 41 3	37 must he f	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed of A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 4	11.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I</li> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further col</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or searc			cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by m	-		ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ot finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	, ,,	of Non-Cor	mnliant Amendment (I	DTOL -324)
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>		or Mon-Cor	ripliant Amendment (i	- 1 OL-324).
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		a separate. t	imelv filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).		•	•	_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) abjected to:			be entered and an ex	∢planation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-29</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections ι	under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the cla	aims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the a	pplication in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(	(s)		
/Ahmad F. Matar/	Examiner : S	Sonia Gav		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614	Examinor. O	Joina Oay		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: independent claims 1 and 11 now recite "transmitting the converted first endpoint data to the 'first endpoint' and the second endpoint." The addition of "first endpoint" to the claims' language requires further consideration and a new search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are based on the the proposed amendent of claims 1 and 11 discussed above in part 3. Since the amendment raises new issues and has not been entered, applicant's arguments have been considered and are moot.